



Leon Kass's Conception of Human Dignity: An Integrated Philosophical Framework for Contemporary Bioethics

Abstract

The concept of human dignity has acquired renewed philosophical significance in the light of rapid developments in biotechnology, genetic engineering, artificial intelligence, reproductive medicine and end-of-life care. While such scientific innovations offer unprecedented benefits, they can provoke reductive interpretations of the human person, encouraging the view that human beings are primarily biological organisms or technological objects. This article examined Leon Kass's conception of human dignity as an integrated philosophical framework for engaging these contemporary bioethical challenges. Drawing upon philosophical analysis and critical evaluation, the study argued that Kass's conception of human dignity is grounded on the intrinsic nature of the human person and not on autonomy, utility or social recognition. Furthermore, Kass's bioethical vision incorporated philosophical anthropology, scientific reflection and moral reasoning as a way of defending the uniqueness of human life against technological reductionism. The article contended that Kass's concept offered an important corrective to the dominant bioethical theories which privilege the individual while neglecting the ontological and moral significance of human nature. Although some aspects of Kass's conservatism were open to philosophical criticism, the integrated approach he offers, proved to be a compelling framework for understanding human dignity in an age increasingly dominated by biomedical innovation and artificial intelligence. The study concluded that Kass's vision continues to make an important contribution to contemporary debates concerning personhood, medical ethics and the moral limits of biotechnology.

Keywords: Human dignity; Leon Kass; Bioethics; Philosophical anthropology; Biotechnology; Human nature; Medical ethics.

Tom Martin Effiong
Philosophy Department,
University of Uyo
Phone Number: 08161720599

Corresponding Authors Email:
tommartineffiong@gmail.com

Date Received: 03rd September, 2026

Date Accepted: 11th September, 2026

Introduction

The question of human dignity has become one of the defining philosophical concerns of the twenty-first century. Advances in biotechnology, genetic

engineering, cloning, reproductive technologies, artificial intelligence and life-extension medicine have not only transformed healthcare, they have questioned the philosophical

understanding of what it means to be human. These developments have generated ethical questions concerning the limits of scientific intervention, the moral status of human life and the preservation of human identity in an increasingly technological world (Fukuyama, 2002; Sandel, 2007).

While the principle of human dignity occupies a central position in contemporary bioethics, there is considerable disagreement concerning its meaning and philosophical foundation. Kant (1997) grounds dignity in rational autonomy while Peter Singer (2011) argues that moral status depends primarily upon characteristics such as self-consciousness and the capacity to experience pleasure and pain. Other scholars approach dignity from a legal, theological, communitarian or rights-based perspective resulting in a plurality of interpretations which often lack philosophical integration. Consequently, the concept of human dignity continues to function as an important ethical idea but is conceptually contested (Sulmasy, 2007).

Among contemporary philosophers, Leon Kass offers one of the most comprehensive attempts at recovering a richer understanding of human dignity. Rejecting reductionist accounts of human beings as merely biological machines or objects of technological manipulation, Kass argues that the human person possesses an intrinsic worth grounded on human nature. For Kass (2002) the ethical

evaluation of medicine cannot be separated from philosophical reflection on the nature and purpose of human existence. He therefore insists that bioethics must address not only questions of scientific possibility but also questions concerning the meaning of life, embodiment, mortality, family and moral responsibility.

Kass's philosophical project is significant because it integrates insights from classical philosophy, Aristotelian teleology, Judeo-Christian moral thought and contemporary biomedical ethics into a coherent account of human dignity. Rather than opposing scientific progress as such, Kass questions whether every technological possibility constitutes genuine human progress. As he observes, "the deepest ethical issues are raised not by what we can do but by what we should do" (Kass, 2002, p. 11). This distinction redirects bioethical reflection from technological capability to moral wisdom.

Despite the growing body of scholarship examining Kass's philosophy, much of it focuses on his anthropological, scientific or bioethical arguments separately. There is relatively little attention on explaining how these different dimensions work together as an integrated philosophical defense of human dignity. This article explores Leon Kass's vision by arguing that his conception of human dignity gains philosophical strength through the interaction between his understanding of human nature, his critique of

reductionism and his vision of medicine as a moral practice.

Leon Kass and the Philosophical Foundations of Human Dignity

Leon Kass's conception of human dignity draws from the belief that bioethical reflection must begin with a proper understanding of the human person. Unlike many contemporary bioethicists, who ground dignity primarily in autonomy, preferences or individual rights, Kass argues that human dignity is rooted in the intrinsic nature of the human being. For him, the ethical evaluation of medical practice is inseparable from philosophical anthropology as medicine ultimately concerns persons rather than merely biological organisms (Kass, 2002).

Kass's position reflects a revival of the classical philosophical tradition, particularly the Aristotelian understanding of human beings as rational, embodied and purposive creatures. Aristotle maintains that every being has a natural end (telos) and human flourishing (eudaimonia) consists in the excellent exercise of reason and virtue (Aristotle, 1999). Kass appropriates this teleological perspective by arguing that the human body is not merely biological matter but an integral component of personal identity. Consequently, any biomedical intervention that fundamentally alters or instrumentalizes human nature raises profound moral questions concerning

human dignity.

The influence of the classical tradition is also evident in Kass's resistance to reductionism. Contemporary scientific culture often interprets human beings using genetic, neuroscience or evolutionary biology. While Kass acknowledges the remarkable achievements of modern science, he argues that scientific explanation fails to capture the meaning of human existence. As he observes, "human life has a dignity that transcends utility" (Kass, 2002, p. 18).

Kass's critique places him in dialogue with Immanuel Kant whose moral philosophy defines dignity as the incomparable worth possessed by every rational being. Kant famously argues that "everything has either a price or a dignity" and that humanity must always be treated as an end in itself rather than merely as a means (Kant, 1997, p. 42). While Kass agrees with Kant's objection to instrumentalization, he rejects locating dignity in rational autonomy. Instead, he contends that dignity belongs to the whole embodied person. Rationality is essential but cannot be stripped of embodiment, vulnerability, family relationships, mortality and the natural processes through which human life unfolds.

This broader understanding also distinguishes Kass from utilitarian approaches exemplified by Peter Singer. Singer (2011) argues that moral consideration depends primarily upon

capacities such as self-awareness, rationality and the ability to experience pleasure or suffering. Kass rejects this position as it undermines the equal worth of every human being. Human dignity, he argues, is not conferred by performance or capacity, but belongs to persons by virtue of their shared humanity. This position safeguards the moral standing of infants, persons living with profound disabilities and the elderly whose value cannot be measured by utility or productivity.

Martin Heidegger's philosophical anthropology also sheds light on Kass's position. Heidegger (1962) argues that authentic human existence cannot be understood through scientific objectification as the human being (Dasein) is fundamentally a being that interprets, questions and takes responsibility for its own existence. Kass similarly stresses that medicine must recognize patients not merely as biological organisms requiring technical intervention but as persons whose lives are shaped by meaning, relationships, hope, suffering and mortality.

Another salient feature of Kass's philosophy is his insistence that technological progress must always be subjected to ethical judgment. Contemporary societies often equate scientific innovation with moral advancement. Kass challenges this assumption by arguing that technological capability does not itself determine ethical legitimacy. Advances in cloning,

enhancement technologies, genetic selection and artificial intelligence require philosophical evaluation as they have the potential to alter the very understanding of what it means to be human. consequently ethical reasoning must precede technological application rather than merely respond to it after implementation (Kass, 2002).

Kass's philosophical foundations reveal a comprehensive conception of human dignity rooted in human nature, embodiment, moral responsibility and the intrinsic worth of the person.

Human Nature, Biotechnology and the Defence of Human Dignity

At the heart of Leon Kass's bioethical philosophy lies the conviction that any discussion of biotechnology must begin with a proper understanding of human nature. Contemporary biomedical science has expanded humanity's capacity to manipulate life through genetic engineering, cloning, reproductive technologies, stem cell research, artificial intelligence and human enhancement. While such developments can yield significant therapeutic benefits, they also raise profound philosophical questions concerning the identity, purpose and dignity of the human person. Kass argues that the ethical significance of biotechnology cannot be determined solely by scientific success or technological efficiency but by the integrity of human nature and the moral meaning of human existence (Kass, 2002).

Citation: Tom Martin Effiong "Leon Kass's Conception of Human Dignity: An Integrated Philosophical Framework for Contemporary Bioethics." *Journal of People and Worldviews (JPW)*, 2026: pp150-162.

One of Kass's most influential contributions to contemporary bioethics is his critique of human cloning. In *Life, Liberty and the Defense of Dignity*, he argues that cloning represents more than a new reproductive technique, it fundamentally alters the relationship between human procreation and human identity. According to Kass (2002) procreation has traditionally involved openness to novelty, unpredictability and the acceptance of children as gifts rather than products. Cloning introduces an unprecedented level of human control over biological inheritance and risks transforming children into objects of manufacture.

Consequently, Kass argues that the ethical problem of cloning goes beyond questions of safety or efficiency. The deeper concern is that cloning encourages an instrumental attitude towards human life by treating the child as a project shaped according to parental preference or technological specification. As Kass (2002) observes, "to turn procreation into manufacture is to do violence to the meaning of having children" (p. 117). His criticism is concerned with the preservation of the moral meaning of parenthood and the intrinsic worth of the human person.

Closely related to cloning is Kass's critique of genetic enhancement. While contemporary medicine rightly seeks to cure disease and relieve suffering, Kass differentiates between therapeutic interventions and enhancement

technologies that are designed to improve normal human capacities. He argues that the pursuit of enhancement reflects an increasingly perfectionist culture that measures human value in terms of performance, productivity, intelligence or physical ability. Such assumptions gradually displace the language of dignity with the language of optimization encouraging societies to evaluate persons based on desirable characteristics rather than their intrinsic worth (Kass, 2002).

This concern echoes Francis Fukuyama's warning that biotechnology may undermine the stable conception of human nature upon which moral equality depends. Fukuyama (2002) argues that human nature constitutes "the sum of the behavior and characteristics that are typical of the human species" (p. 130). If biotechnology radically alters these defining characteristics, the philosophical foundations of universal human rights and equal dignity may become increasingly fragile. Kass shares this concern, maintaining that technological intervention must respect the natural integrity of the human person rather than redefine humanity according to scientific preference.

Jürgen Habermas similarly questions the ethical implications of genetically-enhanced beings. In *The Future of Human Nature*, Habermas (2003) argues that genetic design threatens the moral autonomy of future persons by introducing irreversible parental choices before individuals are capable of

Citation: Tom Martin Effiong "Leon Kass's Conception of Human Dignity: An Integrated Philosophical Framework for Contemporary Bioethics." *Journal of People and Worldviews (JPW)*, 2026: pp150-162.

participating in decisions affecting their own identities. Kass's analysis converges with Habermas's on this point, recognising that biotechnology is not ethically neutral as it reshapes the conditions under which human freedom and moral responsibility develop.

Michael Sandel also criticises enhancement technologies for encouraging the "drive to mastery". According to Sandel (2007) modern biotechnology tempts humanity to replace gratitude with control and humility with domination. This desire to master nature risks eroding virtues such as solidarity, humility and unconditional acceptance. Kass develops a similar argument by insisting that human beings should not aspire to complete mastery over life. The acceptance of human vulnerability, dependency and mortality is not a defect to be eradicated but an essential aspect of authentic human existence. Human dignity consists not in transcending humanity but in living responsibly within the limits of human nature.

Despite the philosophical force of Kass's critique his position has attracted substantial criticism. Julian Savulescu (2001) argues that if biotechnology can increase intelligence reduce disease or improve well-being parents may have a moral obligation to utilise such technologies for the benefit of future generations. Similarly, John Harris (2007) contends that enhancement represents an extension of humanity's long-standing

effort to improve the quality of life through education, medicine and scientific progress. Consequently, opposition to enhancement may actually preserve preventable suffering and individual freedom.

Kass responds indirectly by questioning the assumptions underlying these enhancement arguments. For him, the central issue is not whether biotechnology can produce measurable improvements but whether such improvements preserve the moral meaning of being human. A society that evaluates persons primarily in terms of enhanced capacities will run the risk of creating new forms of inequality, discrimination and commodification. Human dignity becomes contingent upon biological performance rather than intrinsic worth. As such, Kass insists that ethical judgment must be grounded on an adequate philosophical understanding of the human person rather than on technological capability.

Ultimately, Kass's defence of human dignity is neither an outright rejection of biotechnology nor an unconditional acceptance of scientific innovation. It represents a call for ethical prudence grounded on philosophical reflection. Scientific progress must be ordered towards authentic human flourishing and not merely technological mastery for its own sake. By integrating philosophical anthropology, moral philosophy and bioethical analysis, Kass provides a framework through which contemporary

Citation: Tom Martin Effiong "Leon Kass's Conception of Human Dignity: An Integrated Philosophical Framework for Contemporary Bioethics." *Journal of People and Worldviews (JPW)*, 2026: pp150-162.

societies can evaluate biomedical innovations without undermining the intrinsic dignity of the human person..

Leon Kass's Bioethical Vision in Contemporary Medical Practice Essay

Leon Kass's contribution to contemporary bioethics goes beyond theoretical reflection on human dignity to present a practical moral vision that can guide medical decision-making. Unlike principlist approaches to medicine, which often prioritize autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice (Beauchamp & Childress, 2019), Kass argues that sound medical ethics must first answer a more fundamental philosophical question: What is the human being whom medicine seeks to heal? Without an adequate understanding of the human person, ethical principles run the risk of becoming just procedural rules that detach from the moral purpose of medicine itself (Kass, 2002).

This vision is particularly significant in the context of euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide. Contemporary advocates for euthanasia defend these practices by citing individual freedom of choice. Competent patients have a right to decide the timing and manner of their death. While Kass acknowledges the importance of respecting personal freedom, he argues that autonomy cannot provide an adequate basis for medical ethics, and human dignity does not reside in self-interest but is inherent to the person irrespective of illness, disability, dependence, or proximity to death (Kass,

2002).

Legalizing euthanasia would transform medicine into determinism and undermine public trust in medicine while subtly redefining society's understanding of vulnerable persons (the elderly, the chronically ill, and the severely disabled). Consequently, Kass argues that compassion should be expressed not through the deliberate ending of life but through excellent palliative care and pain management.

A similar concern characterizes Kass's approach to reproductive medicine. Assisted reproductive technologies have given hope to countless couples facing infertility but redefine the moral significance of procreation. Kass distinguishes between medical interventions that restore natural reproductive functions and those that transform children into products of technological planning or consumer preference. According to Kass (2002), the ethical challenge resides not simply in the techniques but in the cultural assumptions they encourage concerning parenthood, family, and children.

Kass's defense of human dignity also has significant implications in emerging technologies involving artificial intelligence in healthcare. Machine-learning systems already assist physicians in diagnosis, prognosis, treatment planning, and clinical decision-making with remarkable accuracy. Kass would likely welcome these developments to

Citation: Tom Martin Effiong "Leon Kass's Conception of Human Dignity: An Integrated Philosophical Framework for Contemporary Bioethics." *Journal of People and Worldviews (JPW)*, 2026: pp150-162.

improve patient care. However, his philosophy warns against allowing technological efficiency to supplant human moral judgment, as clinical decisions often involve values, empathy, trust, and compassion that cannot be reduced to computational processes; the physician's responsibility extends beyond interpreting medical data to understanding the lived experience of the patient as a person.

Kass's bioethical vision has, however, not been without criticism. Peter Singer (2011) argues that ethical decisions should prioritize the reduction of suffering and the promotion of well-being, even if it runs counter to traditional conceptions of the sanctity or dignity of human life. Julian Savulescu (2001) similarly maintains that biotechnology creates moral obligations to develop future generations. The perspectives emphasize outcomes and individual choice but fail to recognize that ethical reasoning must be grounded in the preservation of the intrinsic worth of the human person. Medicine loses its moral identity as soon as efficiency, utility, or preference become more fundamental than respect for human dignity. Despite disagreements, Kass's philosophy offers a valuable contribution to contemporary bioethics: his work reminds both philosophers and healthcare professionals that medicine is ultimately concerned with persons rather than technology. Scientific innovation undoubtedly expands therapeutic possibilities, but it cannot eliminate the need for ethical reflection rooted in an

adequate understanding of human nature. By combining philosophical anthropology, moral philosophy, and clinical ethics, Kass presents a vision that can guide medical practice without reducing the patient to biological data or technological possibility. In this respect, Kass's conception of human dignity is a vital resource for contemporary healthcare, particularly as it encounters increasingly complex ethical questions generated by biotechnology and artificial intelligence.

Critical Evaluation and Contemporary Relevance

Leon Kass's conception of human dignity presents one of the most philosophically sophisticated responses to the ethical challenges generated by contemporary biotechnology. Unlike approaches to biotechnology that reduce bioethics to questions of individual preferences or technological efficiency, Kass insists that ethical judgment must be grounded in an adequate understanding of the human person. His integration of philosophical anthropology, moral philosophy, and medical ethics provides a framework capable of addressing questions that purely scientific or legal analyses are unable to resolve. Consequently, Kass restores human dignity to the centre of bioethical reflection and challenges the assumption that technological progress necessarily constitutes moral progress (Kass, 2002).

One of the greatest strengths of Kass's philosophy is his rejection of

reductionism. Modern biomedical science has significantly increased humanity's ability to diagnose disease, manipulate genetic material, and extend life expectancy. Yet scientific explanation alone does not account for the moral realities of love, responsibility, freedom, suffering, hope, and meaning. Kass rightfully argues that these dimensions of human existence cannot be measured empirically because they belong to the lived experience of persons rather than to biological mechanisms. His philosophical anthropology thus protects bioethics from collapsing into scientism, where scientific capability becomes the sole criterion for ethical legitimacy.

Kass's understanding of embodiment is also a major contribution to contemporary philosophy. While many liberal bioethical theories identify dignity with rational autonomy, Kass argues that human dignity belongs to the whole embodied person. Human vulnerability, dependency, ageing, and mortality are necessary dimensions of human existence. This position resonates with phenomenological traditions represented by Martin Heidegger, who argues that authentic human existence cannot be understood apart from finitude and lived experience (Heidegger, 1962). Although Kass's argument is more explicitly rooted in moral philosophy than Heidegger's, both reject the reduction of humanity to scientific objectification.

Furthermore, Kass provides an important philosophical defence against the

commodification of human life. Contemporary reproductive technologies, commercial surrogacy, genetic enhancement, and artificial intelligence increasingly encourage societies to measure persons according to their utility, productivity, or desirable characteristics. Kass shows that once human worth is measured in terms of measurable capacities, the equal dignity of all persons is placed at risk. His defense of intrinsic human worth is particularly relevant for protecting vulnerable populations, including unborn children, persons with profound disabilities, and the elderly, whose dignity cannot be determined by functional ability alone.

Nevertheless, Kass's philosophy is not without significant limitations. One recurring critic is the epistemic status of his famous appeal to the "wisdom of repugnance." Kass (1997) argues that feelings of moral revulsion toward practices such as human cloning may reveal important ethical truths even before rational analysis is complete. Although this insight draws attention to the moral significance of human intuition, critics question the reliability of emotional reactions to formulating public ethical reasoning. Habermas (2003) warns against allowing subjective moral sentiments to replace rational deliberation in democratic societies. While moral intuitions may initiate ethical reflection, they require philosophical justification if they are to guide public policy.

Citation: Tom Martin Effiong "Leon Kass's Conception of Human Dignity: An Integrated Philosophical Framework for Contemporary Bioethics." *Journal of People and Worldviews (JPW)*, 2026: pp150-162.

Kass has also been criticized for giving insufficient attention to the value of individual autonomy. Contemporary bioethicists such as Beauchamp and Childress (2019) regard respect for autonomy as a foundational principle of medical ethics. Similarly, Singer (2011) argues that ethical choices should prioritize the interests and preferences of individuals capable of making informed decisions about their own lives. Kass, however, consistently subordinates autonomy to a broader conception of human flourishing grounded in human nature.

Despite these criticisms, Kass's integrated philosophy continues to be remarkably relevant in the twenty-first century. Emerging technologies such as CRISPR gene editing, synthetic biology, reproductive genome selection, transhumanist enhancement, and artificial intelligence increasingly blur the lines between therapy and enhancement. Ethical debates concerning these technologies cannot be resolved solely through scientific expertise because they concern fundamental questions of human identity, equality, and moral responsibility. Kass's insistence that bioethics must begin with philosophical anthropology is particularly valuable in this context.

The contemporary relevance of Kass's philosophy becomes even more apparent when examining non-Western philosophical traditions. African communitarian philosophers such as

Ifeanyi Menkiti (1984) and John Mbiti (1969) similarly reject excessively individualistic accounts of personhood by emphasizing that persons achieve fulfilment within communities and relationships. Although Kass develops his position independently of African philosophy, both perspectives affirm that human dignity cannot be reduced to autonomy or biological capacity alone. This convergence highlights that Kass's conception of dignity has cross-cultural significance that could enrich global bioethical discourse.

The present study argues that Kass's greatest philosophical contribution lies not in defending particular bioethical positions but in providing an integrated method for ethical reflection. Existing scholarship has frequently examined Kass's anthropological, scientific, or bioethical writings separately. Compared to this, this study has shown that these dimensions derive their significance from being mutually reinforcing aspects of a single philosophical framework. His critique of reductionism, defence of embodied personhood, understanding of medicine as a moral practice, and concern for the ethical limits of biotechnology together constitute a coherent conception of human dignity that is capable of addressing many of the most pressing challenges confronting contemporary bioethics.

Accordingly, this article concludes that Leon Kass should not merely be seen as a conservative critic of biotechnology but

as a philosopher of human dignity whose work seeks to preserve the moral meaning of human existence in an age of unprecedented scientific power. While aspects of his philosophy remain open to criticism, his integrated approach provides a valuable foundation for future research on biotechnology, artificial intelligence, medical ethics, and the philosophical understanding of the human person.

Conclusion

This article has examined Leon Kass's conception of human dignity as an integrated philosophical framework for addressing the ethical challenges posed by contemporary biotechnology and medical practice. Against the background of rapid scientific advancement and increasing technological intervention in human life, Kass argues that bioethics cannot be adequately grounded in autonomy, utility, and scientific capability. Rather, ethical reflection must begin with a proper understanding of the human person as an embodied, rational, relational, and morally responsible being whose dignity is intrinsic and inviolable (Kass, 2002).

The analysis has shown that Kass's philosophy draws upon the classical tradition of philosophical anthropology while engaging contemporary debates concerning cloning, genetic enhancement, reproductive technologies, euthanasia, physician-assisted suicide, and artificial intelligence. His rejection of reductionist accounts of human nature provides an

important corrective to bioethical perspectives that evaluate persons primarily in terms of functional capacity, preference satisfaction, and technological optimization. By insisting that medicine is a moral practice ordered toward the flourishing of persons rather than simply managing biological processes, Kass restores human dignity to the centre of biomedical ethics.

A central contribution of this article has been to demonstrate that Kass's philosophical anthropology, scientific reflections, and bioethical analyses should not be interpreted as independent strands of thought but as mutually reinforcing dimensions. This integrated reading fills an important gap in the existing literature, which has often treated these aspects of Kass's work in isolation. Understanding their interrelationship reveals the coherence of Kass's philosophical project and highlights why his conception of human dignity continues to offer valuable insights for contemporary bioethical discourse.

At the same time, the article has acknowledged the criticisms of Kass's philosophy, particularly concerning his appeal to the "wisdom of repugnance" and his limited engagement with the role of autonomy in pluralistic societies. These criticisms demonstrate that Kass's position does not provide definitive answers to every bioethical dilemma. Nevertheless, they do not diminish the enduring significance of his central

Citation: Tom Martin Effiong "Leon Kass's Conception of Human Dignity: An Integrated Philosophical Framework for Contemporary Bioethics." *Journal of People and Worldviews (JPW)*, 2026: pp150-162.

insight that scientific progress must be accountable to ethical principles grounded in an adequate understanding of human nature.

The increasing influence of artificial intelligence, genome editing, reproductive technologies, synthetic biology, and transhumanist aspirations ensures that debates concerning human dignity will become even more significant in the coming decades. Kass's philosophy reminds contemporary society that technological innovation should never overshadow the intrinsic worth of the human person. Scientific advancement is indisputably indispensable to modern medicine, but its ethical legitimacy ultimately depends upon whether it serves authentic human flourishing.

Future scholarship should extend Kass's conception of human dignity by examining its application within emerging fields such as artificial intelligence governance, digital medicine, precision genomics, and global bioethics. Comparative studies between Kass's philosophical anthropology and African communitarian conceptions of personhood, particularly those developed by John Mbiti and Ifeanyi Menkiti, may also contribute to a more profound intercultural understanding of human dignity and strengthen the foundations of bioethics. Such dialogue would demonstrate the continuing relevance of Kass's thought beyond its original intellectual context.

In conclusion, Leon Kass's conception of human dignity represents one of the most comprehensive philosophical responses to the moral challenges of contemporary biotechnology. Although aspects of his position invite critical debate, his integrated vision of the human person offers a compelling framework for preserving the ethical meaning of medicine and protecting the dignity of every human being in an age of unprecedented scientific and technological transformation.

References

- Aristotle. (1999). *Nicomachean ethics* (T. Irwin, Trans.). Hackett Publishing Company.
- Augustine. (1993). *On free choice of the will* (T. Williams, Trans.). Hackett Publishing Company. (Original work published ca. 395 CE)
- Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Boethius. (2004). *The theological tractates and The consolation of philosophy* (H. F. Stewart, E. K. Rand, & S. J. Tester, Trans.). Dover Publications.
- Descartes, R. (1996). *Meditations on first philosophy* (J. Cottingham, Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1641)
- Fukuyama, F. (2002). *Our posthuman future: Consequences of the biotechnology revolution*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Habermas, J. (2003). *The future of human nature* (H. Beister et al., Trans.). Polity Press.

Citation: Tom Martin Effiong "Leon Kass's Conception of Human Dignity: An Integrated Philosophical Framework for Contemporary Bioethics." *Journal of People and Worldviews (JPW)*, 2026: pp150-162.

Leon Kass's Conception of Human Dignity: An Integrated Philosophical Framework for Contemporary Bioethics

- Harris, J. (2007). *Enhancing evolution: The ethical case for making better people*. Princeton University Press.
- Heidegger, M. (1962). *Being and time* (J. Macquarrie & E. Robinson, Trans.). Harper & Row. (Original work published 1927)
- Kant, I. (1997). *Groundwork of the metaphysics of morals* (M. Gregor, Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1785)
- Kass, L. R. (1985). *Toward a more natural science: Biology and human affairs*. Free Press.
- Kass, L. R. (1997). The wisdom of repugnance. *The New Republic*, 216(22), 17-26.
- Kass, L. R. (2002). *Life, liberty and the defense of dignity: The challenge for bioethics*. Encounter Books.
- Locke, J. (1975). *An essay concerning human understanding* (P. H. Nidditch, Ed.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1690)
- Mbiti, J. S. (1969). *African religions and philosophy*. Heinemann.
- Menkiti, I. A. (1984). Person and community in African traditional thought. In R. A. Wright (Ed.), *African philosophy: An introduction* (pp. 171-181). University Press of America.
- Pico della Mirandola, G. (1998). *Oration on the dignity of man* (A. R. Caponigri, Trans.). Regnery Publishing.
- Plato. (1992). *Republic* (G. M. A. Grube, Trans.; C. D. C. Reeve, Rev.). Hackett Publishing Company.
- Sandel, M. J. (2007). *The case against perfection: Ethics in the age of genetic engineering*. Harvard University Press.
- Savulescu, J. (2001). Procreative beneficence: Why we should select the best children. *Bioethics*, 15(5-6), 413-426.
- Singer, P. (2011). *Practical ethics* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Sulmasy, D. P. (2007). Human dignity and human worth. In *Human dignity and bioethics: Essays commissioned by the President's Council on Bioethics* (pp. 9-18). U.S. Government Printing Office.

Citation: Tom Martin Effiong "Leon Kass's Conception of Human Dignity: An Integrated Philosophical Framework for Contemporary Bioethics." *Journal of People and Worldviews (JPW)*, 2026: pp150-162.